	Page 1
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI
3	EASTERN DIVISION
4	
5	JAMES GARAVAGLIA,)
6)
7	Plaintiff,)
8) Cause No.
9	vs.) 4:20-CV-1681-
10) CDP
11	CITY OF ST. LOUIS, et al.,
12)
13	Defendants.)
14	
15	DEPOSITION OF DARLENE GREEN
16	Taken on behalf of the Plaintiff
17	February 17, 2022
18	
19	Sheryl A. Pautler, RPR,
20	
21	MO-CCR 871, IL-CSR 084-004585
22	
23	(The proceedings began at 9:42 a.m.)
24	PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT
25	

Is this document for the organization structure under a second deputy comptroller position?

- A. This document -- this page is for the deputy comptroller.
- Q. Are -- there is -- as of 2019, there was multiple deputy comptrollers; is that correct?
- A. There was one deputy comptroller and then there was a deputy comptroller for the Finance & Development.
 - Q. All right. So are you saying --
- A. What I'm saying -- I'm being very clear and succinct here.
 - Q. Okay.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

- A. And I'm being that way because of what you're -- to answer your question correctly. There was one deputy comptroller. And then there was a deputy comptroller for Finance & Development.
- Q. Okay. Were those two positions equal level positions, the ones you just named?
- A. Not in the same manner as I believe you're asking. If you can be clear, then I'll be clearer with you. Can you -- because there is function and there is personnel title and class. They were equal in personnel title and class, unequal in function.
 - Q. Unequal in function of terms of the level

Page 52 they're at or in terms of their duties? 1 2. In terms of not only their duties but in 3 terms of the charter responsibilities. Okay. Did either deputy comptroller or to 4 0. 5 be more clear the deputy comptroller versus the deputy comptroller of Finance & Development ever 6 7 report to the other in any manner? And I mean in an organizational structure, supervisory, subordinate 8 9 type role. 10 Both deputy comptrollers report to the 11 comptroller. 12 All right. Directly, correct? Ο. There's 13 not any -- anything in between your position and their position? 14 15 Α. Correct. 16 All right. 0. 17 But there is a status difference in terms Α. 18 of function in my office. 19 Okay. What do you mean by that? O. 20 Α. Per the charter. 21 What is the difference? Ο. 2.2 Α. The charter states that there shall be one 23 deputy comptroller. 24 O. Okay. 25 And that position on this Page 289 is that Α.

Page 53 1 deputy comptroller per charter. 2. Ο. Understood. So what's the -- how does 3 that impact their status in an organizational chart as far as being on the same level? And I mean as 4 5 far as supervisor support. Does it at all? Could you be more clear? 6 7 Well, I mean, you've answered that they Ο. have a different level. And I'm trying to get at 8 9 what you mean by that. Do you mean that in terms of 10 their duties and roles or what the charter defines 11 it or do you mean it specifically as in one is some 12 way subordinate to the other? 13 Α. One is in some way subordinate to the 14 other. 15 Ο. Okay. And what way is that? 16 Charter responsibility, charter 17 definition, charter function. 18 To your understanding, does the charter 0. 19 define the deputy comptroller of Finance & 20 Development as subordinate to the deputy 21 comptroller? 2.2 MR. NORWOOD: Let me object, because I 23 think it may call for a legal interpretation of the charter. And it calls for a legal opinion 24 on the part of this lay witness. 25

2.

2.2

2.5

Page 54

But subject to that objection to the extent you can try to answer, please feel free to try to do so.

- A. The deputy comptroller for Finance & Development did not exist prior to my becoming the comptroller. The deputy comptroller as defined by charter existed. The charter states that there shall be additional deputies as added. This was an added deputy with a specific role, not to replace or usurp the charter's deputy comptroller as required by the charter.
- Q. (By Mr. Schmitz) Okay. In your job as the comptroller, are you responsible for interpreting the charter in any way?
- A. I believe I need to have a clear understanding of the charter in order -- as it relates to my job in order to carry out my job well.
- Q. Do you believe you have a clear understanding?
 - A. I absolutely do.
- Q. All right. So based on that clear understanding, in what way is the position of deputy comptroller of Finance & Development subordinate based on the language of the charter?
 - A. It is --

It's subordinate in the way it was defined by the comptroller at the time and the comptroller at the time was me. The position was created under my direction expressly for the duties that would be subordinate duties of the deputy comptroller of the office.

- Q. Is this something that's been put into writing what you just said at any point?
- A. It was, a description of the position was put in writing.
- Q. Okay. Does that description include what you just said, that it was intended to be a position that was subordinate to the duties of the deputy comptroller as listed in the charter?
- A. Over the years when both positions existed, the question has come up by deputy comptroller at the time and deputy comptroller for Finance & Development, and it became a question asked and answered and understood.
- Q. So my question was, the job description you referred to, was what you just said included in any of those documents?
- A. In terms of it being a subordinate position?
 - O. Correct.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

Page 58 I don't recall. 1 Α. 2. Ο. All right. Do you recall any document 3 where that would be expressly stated? I do. 4 Α. 5 Okay. Can you tell me what those are? Ο. It would have been in a memo. And it 6 Α. 7 would have been between -- let's see -- the deputy comptroller -- it would have been in the early 8 9 2000s. Deputy Comptroller Bozzo and Deputy 10 Comptroller for Finance, Ivy Pinkston, at the time. 11 There was a big question as to the responsibility, 12 the level. And it was very clear and made clear 13 that Deputy Comptroller Bozzo had the superior 14 position. 15 The question came because the staff 16 questioned. The staff needed to be clear as to who 17 to listen to in all phases of the office. And it 18 was the bottom line that Deputy Comptroller Bozzo 19 was at the helm. And that was made clear. 20 Q. In this memo? 21 That I recall. Α. 2.2 Ο. All right. Did you write the memo? I did not. 23 Α. 24 Ο. Do you know who wrote the memo? I do not. 25 Α.

- Q. Were there any additional memos written subsequent to that?
 - A. Not that I recall.
- Q. Would you say, then, that the deputy comptroller of Finance & Development actually takes direction from the deputy comptroller?
 - A. At times.
 - O. Okay. What times would those be?
- A. Because the office is a finance -- it's generally -- I said finance. But I mean it is largely an accounting office, the deputy comptroller for Finance & Development does not cross over into the duties of the accounting services. But must coordinate their activities in order that they may work together well in order to produce the work product that's necessary in order for them to operate their jobs.

That is critical, that they have an understanding of the functions and positions of each other's jobs so that they wouldn't get crossways with whose responsibility it is in terms of the different work activities that come through our office. That's very critical.

As the comptroller, I also have to have a very clear understanding of the functions of

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com

888-391-3376

2.

2.2

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

Page 60

the two deputies. Chiefly because they are the same class. Chiefly because it appears that they shall operate at the same level and have the same authority. And they do not.

That is expressly impart -- I have over the years been very clear and expressed to the best of my ability as controller, the importance of the separation of the duties of the two. As well as the importance of the two deputies working together. Extremely important.

We have had times where they would bump heads. But in the end, and for the best interest of the City, for the best interest of the office, they must work together.

- Q. Are there -- so they have distinct functions, is what you're saying?
 - A. They have distinct functions.
- Q. Does the deputy comptroller take direction from the deputy comptroller of Finance & Development when it comes to issues directly under the purview of the deputy comptroller of Finance & Development?
 - A. In some cases.
- Q. So there are times, depending on what the issue is, where both would take direction from the other; is that your expectation?

Q. So my question, just to repeat it, and you answered with one of them: Are there any other actions that you as the appointing authority as it relates to discipline need approval from the director or the Department of Personnel?

MR. NORWOOD: Let me object. It calls for a legal conclusion with respect to the interpretation of Reg 117.

Subject to that, you can answer to the extent that you can.

- A. I'm not aware of any other area of this regulation where I would need approval from the Director of Personnel.
- Q. (By Mr. Schmitz) All right. These pages don't have Bates stamps. So I'm just going to ask you to go to Section VI, where it's marked forced leave. If you can let me know when you have that.
 - A. I have it.

2.

2.2

- Q. All right. Thank you. Have you ever placed anyone on forced leave or sought approval from the Director of Personnel to place somebody on forced leave?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Okay. Have you done it more than once?
 - A. Yes.

Page 76 If you know, can you tell me approximately 1 2. how many times that you've participated in this 3 process? Α. 4 Two. 5 All right. Can you tell me who those individuals are? 6 7 Α. Yes. MR. NORWOOD: Let me object to the extent 8 9 that we're talking about personnel matters that involve nonparties in this case. I don't 10 11 believe that -- well, actually I'm going to 12 differ to the City counselor on the position 13 about discussing the names of individuals who 14 are not parties to this case, and discipline related to those individuals. 15 16 MR. BLANKE: Let me just point out for the 17 record that there is a protective order in 18 place which deals with this very thing in 19 depositions. Where we want to make something 20 subject to that protective order, there's a 21 procedure to do so. 2.2 MS. HAMILTON: He's not yet asked for the 23 That's why I didn't say anything yet. 2.4 MR. NORWOOD: I think he just did.

Veritext Legal Solutions

www.veritext.com

888-391-3376

I did.

MR. SCHMITZ:

2.5

Page 78 MR. BLANKE: That's my understanding. 1 2. MS. HAMILTON: Either way. 3 MR. NORWOOD: So then can we have an agreement on the record, then, what we'll do is 4 5 when we get the deposition transcript, we will designate the pages as confidential? 6 7 MR. BLANKE: Absolutely. MR. NORWOOD: So the record is clear on 8 9 what portions of that will be confidential. 10 MR. BLANKE: That's fine. 11 MR. NORWOOD: Subject to all of that, 12 Madam Comptroller, to the extent you can answer 13 that question, feel free to do so. You want the name? 14 Α. 15 0. (By Mr. Schmitz) Well, you identified two 16 So those two -people. 17 Α. Yes. 18 -- subject to those objections. Q. 19 Well, the first person was an African Α. 20 American female named Elaine Spearman. I'm sorry. Elaine? 21 MR. BLANKE: 2.2 THE WITNESS: Elain Spearman. 23 And the second one was Jim Garavaglia. 24 0. (By Mr. Schmitz) Do you know approximately the time frame for Elaine Spearman subject to the 25

Page 79 same objections? 1 2. Α. April 20, 2016. 3 All right. Are you familiar with the Ο. steps that need to be taken, then, in order to have 4 5 some -- an employee placed on forced leave? 6 Α. Yes. 7 Ο. All right. And just to be clear, where do those -- the procedure and the steps for that come 8 from? 9 10 From Regulation 117. Α. 11 All right. You already testified that the Ο. 12 Director of Personnel must approve that, correct? 13 Α. Yes. 14 Do you know anything about what that 15 employee's rights are after they've been placed on 16 forced leave? 17 Α. I believe there's a right to appeal. 18 Ο. All right. And where does that appeal go? Who do they appeal to, the employee? 19 20 Α. Civil Service Commission, I believe. 21 Q. All right. You have G ready. Thanks. 2.2 MR. BLANKE: Sorry. 23 MR. NORWOOD: That's all right. 24 MR. BLANKE: Your table is way too big for 25 me.

A. Yes.

2.

2.2

- Q. Okay. What other documents would bind the City to your knowledge? I'm not asking for a legal conclusion. I'm asking based on your role as comptroller.
- A. Lease agreements, financial closing documents, development closing documents. Those documents that are directing the comptroller to sign that have directed the comptroller to sign per ordinance.
- Q. Do you make those determinations yourself or do you ever seek help in making that determination?
- A. The documents that I have to sign that are, I will call, everyday documents such as contracts and leases are very clear as to the signature from the comptroller. It's very clear to me in terms of not having to seek a recommendation. It's also clear by the majority of the offices and department -- and particular department heads of the entire City of St. Louis as to the authority and the understanding of the authority -- signature authority in the City.
- Q. And so just to reiterate my question, have you ever had to seek counsel --

2.

2.2

2.5

Page 84

A. Yes, that would have been the second part of my question -- I mean my answer. You asked a compound question. And so I gave you the everyday situations for the contracts and leases where that is widely understood, not only by myself, as well as 99 percent of all directors and all departments of the City. That the signature authority lies with the comptroller. In addition to that, when we have development documents. Other types of financial documents --

MS. HAMILTON: Madam Comptroller, to the extent that you have sought legal advice, you have answered that there are circumstances that you do. Otherwise, I will object that the advice and the circumstances under which you sought that advice are attorney-client privileged on behalf of the City of St. Louis. And as you are aware --

MR. SCHMITZ: Well, I'm not asking about the circumstances, and I don't plan to. So I'm -- especially not particular circumstances. Any questions I'm asking are general in nature. Not anything that relates to any specific instance at this point.

MS. HAMILTON: Even any general type of

Page 86 1 THE WITNESS: Exactly. 2. MR. SCHMITZ: I'm not characterizing it in any way. I'm asking it as a question. I'm not 3 stating it as what she just said. So I'd like 4 5 the witness to clarify that rather than you. MR. NORWOOD: I'm not clarifying. 6 7 making an objection for the record. THE WITNESS: So you want me to clarify 8 9 what I've sought and what I haven't sought? 10 O. (By Mr. Schmitz) No. I'll ask it in a 11 different way. In other words, leases and contracts 12 are those -- you said that's generally understood as 13 something that needed to be signed by the 14 comptroller only; is that your testimony? 15 A. As per charter, responsibility of the 16 comptroller, I understand that those documents that 17 include leases, contracts that bind the City, I sign and without -- and generally without seeking 18 19 additional advice. And that is because the charter 20 provides. 21 Okay. So just so that I'm not 22 misunderstanding. Generally speaking you made that determination yourself? 23 24 Well, in my position as comptroller, and I Α. want to be real clear that this is a job. This is 25

Page 91 supervisors. 1 2. Ο. All right. Do you know who was 3 responsible for preparing and generating these rules? 4 5 Α. Yes. All right. Who? 6 Ο. 7 Α. Elaine Spearman. Did she do that with your assistance? 8 Ο. 9 Α. No. 10 Ο. How did she know what rules to have for 11 the Office of the Comptroller? 12 As an employee and an attorney in the 13 Office of the Comptroller gaining -- I believe she would have gained the knowledge of the personnel 14 15 rules and would put this together to adhere to those 16 rules that would be personnel rules when this 17 document was prepared. Do you know when this document was 18 Q. 19 prepared? 20 Α. It says 1999. 21 It does. And then do you see what it says 22 on the front page below that? 23 Α. Yes. 24 Ο. What does it say? 25 Updated and reissued February 2010. Α.

Page 114 1 that position? Α. Could you be more clear? 3 Well, I want you to say whatever is 0. responsive to the question. So you know he was 4 5 ultimately picked as the replacement deputy comptroller of Finance & Development, correct? 6 7 Α. Correct. So what was your involvement, if any, in 8 Ο. 9 making that selection? 10 I reviewed the list of six people. 11 remember interviewing the people, each of the 12 people. And there was one individual who was in the 13 finance industry at the time that I was interested in who I had actually spoken with prior to --14 15 actually spoken to and was among the people who were 16 actively, I guess, looking at the position from the 17 financing. In other words, an investment banker was on that list. 18 19 O. Okay. 20 Α. Investment banker was on that list. 21 that investment banker was very interested in the 22 job. Do you recall who that individual was? 23 0. 24 Ron Browning Smith. Α. You said Ron Browning Smith? 25 Ο.

Page 115 1 Α. Yes. 2. Ο. Okay. And what happened with that? Did he -- you did not hire him obviously. So --3 He was offered the job. 4 Α. 5 Ο. Okay. Did he decline? 6 Α. Yes. 7 Do you know approximately how old he is? Q. Over 70. At the time, he was 70. 8 Α. 9 Can you tell me, do you recall what race 0. 10 he is? 11 Black. Α. 12 All right. So after he declined the job, Q. 13 what did you do next? I reviewed other candidates and reviewed 14 15 my options. And eventually made a determination 16 that I believe was in the best interest of the -- of 17 the Office of the Comptroller to talk with Jim about 18 considering accepting the job with making some 19 changes. 20 What changes are those? Q. 21 The job that in its current form and as it 2.2 was advertised would be changed to have less duties than would be there for the finance functions. 23 And 24 the reason for that was since he was an asset manager, that he would also maintain his duties as 25

asset manager. And I needed to know if he was accepting of that type of arrangement prior to accepting the position.

- Q. Okay. So the duties of the asset manager were not one that Ivy Pinkston --
 - A. That is correct.
 - Q. All right.

2.

2.2

A. My reason for that was because Ivy
Pinkston did not ever have Jim involved in the
business of Finance & Development while she was
deputy comptroller. I was very observant of that.
I understood that Jim did not have the skills
required to be in the position, even though he had
worked under her as asset manager.

He had never interacted with bankers, investment bankers, and the attorneys that were bond attorneys, and those professionals that worked in the area while Ivy Pinkston was the deputy comptroller for Finance & Development. Jim was not assigned in that area at all.

I was observant of that, because she did come down with an illness. I was observant of who she would put in charge of certain areas that she was -- had charge over. Candace Gorden, Ryan Coleman, Eunetter Steele, became those individuals

Page 117 that I as the comptroller rely on for all of the 1 business of Finance & Development while Ivy Pinkston was the deputy comptroller --3 4 Q. Okay. 5 -- of Finance & Development. Jim was fully in his role and very active as the asset 6 7 manager and all the duties that was under him. none of them crossed over into the Finance & 8 9 Development area. 10 So when he became the deputy comptroller, 11 did those people continue to perform those duties? Candace Gorden retired. She was the top 12 Α. 13 person under -- the top assistant under Ivy Pinkston. And she retired. 14 So who performed those duties after that? 15 Ο. 16 Eunetter Steele was --Α. 17 Before you jump to that, I want to make Q. sure we don't get too confused. Candace --18 19 Α. Retired. 20 -- she retired, who took on those duties? Q. 21 Α. I did. So that was not something that fell under 2.2 Ο. Jim? 23 24 It did not. Α. 25 All right. And then you were mentioning Q.

Page 118 1 somebody else? Α. Ryan Coleman. I think you said Eunetter? 3 Ο. Eunetter Steele. 4 Α. 5 Did she remain in her position --Ο. 6 Α. Yes. 7 -- after Jim got promoted? Ο. Okay. Who did she report to? 8 9 Α. I do not recall, because I don't know if 10 Jim would -- accepted her as an employee or if she 11 reported to someone else. I do not recall that. 12 She was the direct assistant -- administrative 13 assistant to Ivy Pinkston. 14 What I know for sure is that the protocols that was established for that work 15 16 progress for Finance & Development was no longer 17 followed. Eunetter Steele had developed the work protocol with the leadership of Ivy Pinkston. After 18 19 Jim took the position, those protocols fell apart. 20 Can you elaborate on that? Q. 21 Eunetter Steele remained in her position 2.2 after the passing of Ivy Pinkston, and made herself available to assist, to train, and help in any way 23 24 whatsoever for the new deputy comptroller for development, which was Jim Garavaglia. I was told 2.5

and observed that those protocols were not followed. Those were instructions of my office from me, the comptroller, to have those well-oiled procedures and policies to be continued. And they were not followed.

- Q. Who told you that?
- A. I observed --

2.

2.2

2.5

- Q. I know you said that. Who told you that. You said somebody told you?
 - A. I didn't say that. I said I observed.
- Q. You said I was told -- could you read the record back just to be clear?

THE COURT REPORTER: Answer: Eunetter

Steele remained in her position after the passing of Ivy Pinkston, and made herself available to assist, to train, and help in any way whatsoever for the new deputy comptroller for development, which was Jim Garavaglia. I was told and observed that those protocols were not followed. Those were instructions of my office from me, the comptroller, to have those well-oiled procedures and policies to be continued. And they were not followed.

A. I was told by Eunetter Steele and by my assistant, Chana Morton.

- Q. (By Mr. Schmitz) Was that something that Chana Morton -- it was part of her job expectation?
 - A. Absolutely.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

2.5

- Q. Okay. So just to be clear, your administrative assistant, one of her job roles is to observe the practices of the deputy comptroller and the deputy comptroller for Finance & Development?
- A. One of her roles is to make sure that the comptroller's instructions that she is well aware of and understands is adhered to when it comes to receiving documentation on behalf of me, the comptroller. She understood the well-oiled system because it existed from the beginning of the creation of the position itself.

It was not rocket science. We were handling and managing very important financial documents that had not, before the position, occurred as regularly as it was occurring during my administration.

- Q. When did you become aware of this? You said you observed it and you were told by two individuals.
 - A. Mishaps occurred.
 - O. No. My question is when.
 - A. Mishaps occurred when Jim Garavaglia, when

Page 121 1 he was --When did you become aware of it? 2. Ο. I became aware immediately upon Jim 3 Α. Garavaglia's handling of documentation that was 4 5 Finance & Development that was mishandled. words, the handling of the documents was no longer 6 7 the same as had been before he took the position. So that was an immediate. 8 9 Q. Okay. So --10 Α. It was immediate. And I -- not only was I observing it, but I asked a question about it 11 12 because I needed to know who and why. It was that 13 important to know. I did not want to jump to a 14 conclusion and say that it was somebody when it was not. But I needed to know. So the observation was 15 16 immediate. 17 Okay. So what steps did you take in Q. 18 response? 19 I asked questions. Α. 20 Q. To whom? 21 Eunetter Steele, Chana Morton, Jim Α. 2.2 Garavaglia. And then what? 23 Ο. 24 Α. I was given answers. And then what? 25 Ο.

A. And then I had to observe the next go around to see if there were corrections made based on instructions that I had given after having the discussions about the process and how the process should go. And this is a process about making sure that there's a circulation of the documents which was efficient and in order to make sure that we are meeting deadlines.

Whenever there's a financing, there's deadlines that are in place. Elected officials are not always going to be at their desk, the major, the comptroller, the treasurer and other signers of public officials that were supposed to sign the documents, were not necessarily going to all be in one place during the time the documents were approaching for signature. And the deadlines were in stone; not the individuals.

- Q. Okay. Before we talk about the process at length --
- A. You're cutting me off, sir. I would like to finish and it won't take long. And it's very important.
 - O. Go ahead.

2.

2.2

2.4

2.5

A. Like I said, the deadline was in stone, but not the individuals. So what was in place was

Page 123 for the secretaries of -- and assistants, the 1 assistants for each elected official and other 2. officials who were going to sign the documents to 3 find out where those individuals would be and to 4 5 inform them that there were some important documents that were going to be coming within a certain time 6 7 period or window. And then they would arrange among themselves when to get the documents to those 8 9 individuals so that the deadlines which were very important could be met without question. 10 11 Over a couple of decades, that worked 12 Then all of a sudden, I observed a perfect. 13 dismantling of that system, a well-oiled system that seemed to just stop working. And while Eunetter 14 15 Steele was still present, I couldn't understand. So 16 maybe there's something that I'm not understanding. 17 So I kept going back, giving the instruction, to make sure you follow direction. And then those 18 19 directions were not followed by Jim. 20 And you've documented this? Q. 21 I did. Α. 2.2 Ο. Over the course of his time as the deputy 23 comptroller? 2.4 Α. Yes. Where is that documentation? 2.5 Q. Okay.

- A. The documentation would be in the form of a narrative given to an attorney which I believe you also have the narrative.
- Q. Was it -- okay. You are referring to documentation in July of 2019?
 - A. It follows --

2.

2.5

- Q. Was there any documentation prior to that?
- A. I believe so. But I can't recall specifically at this time. But I believe so.
- Q. Okay. How long was Jim the deputy comptroller?
- A. From, I believe, June -- May or June of 2016, until he retired in 2019.
- Q. So in those three years before he was placed on forced leave, what was done in response to what you just articulated you observed and were also told by two different individuals?
- A. Well, let me give you an example. I got a call from Joyce Opinsky, who's a banker with Stern Brothers, the morning of a closing of a financing. She was alarmed. It was in the morning. I was on the way to a doctor's appointment. Joyce Opinsky said: If you don't mind, Comptroller, I need your signature.

I was in shock. I said: Joyce.

Page 125 She says: Comptroller, whatever you 1 2. do, I need your signature by noon. I said: But Jim -- and I remembered 3 this so well because her office was in Clayton and I 4 5 was driving to my doctor's appointment at the time. Joyce, no worries. I'll swing by your 6 I said: office. 7 I'll come down the elevator. I'll 8 9 meet you in the lobby. 10 I went to Stern Brothers. I signed 11 the document. And Joyce and I spoke about how this 12 is happening, how this is happening, and how this 13 had to happen. 14 She says: I don't care about what 15 you guys got to do. In order to sell these bonds, I 16 need your signature. Thank you so much. 17 I went to my doctor's appointment. Ι 18 didn't hear from Jim that day. But what I heard 19 from others in the office is that Jim was surprised 20 that the document was signed. That was the first 21 time I observed that I'm aware --2.2 O. Did you speak to Jim about this? I did not. Jim did not call me. He's my 23 24 subordinate. 2.5 Did you seek to talk to him about it? Ο.

A. I probably was more concerned with my health on that day that I had just come from the doctor.

Q. Did you follow up?

2.2

A. I did not follow up until later on in the weeks. Because certainly there were other documents that were circulating. And, yes, I pulled Jim into the office for a conference and a consultation on matters that had to do with signing documents and circulating them. I did that multiple times.

Not only was it Jim and his secretary, it was also Jim's secretary and Eunetter in my office to have consultation on circulation of the documents and the understanding of such in terms of the importance of how you get the documents circulated properly to let the elected officials know that you'll meet them at a certain time and place to sign documents, instead of having an investment banker to call you while you're on the way to the doctor.

- Q. So when was this?
- A. This was early on in Jim's tenure as deputy comptroller. He began June of -- or June of 2016. So it was either the 2016 or 2017 year. It was early. It was early enough to alarm me that I

had to have a call from an investment banker to ask for my signature instead of my subordinate who was Jim Garavaglia, who should have called me or my assistant to find out where I would be to sign a document so it could be in time for the closing.

That's millions of dollars of City taxpayer's dollars that we're talking about here.

And I had given strict instructions on how, that these procedures for signature should happen. And Jim did not follow them.

- Q. Where are these procedures? What document are they contained in?
- A. These procedures come from the comptroller verbally.
- Q. Did you ever memorialize any of these strict detailed instructions?
 - A. Yes. Eunetter Steele did that.
 - Q. Where are they?

2.

A. I don't -- there was a fold -- there's a thick book in the Office of the Comptroller which was shared with Jim's secretary, from Eunetter Steele to Jim's secretary. And it happened in my office. I was sitting right there. I said: Sheila, are you going to do this work here that it -- it says this is how you circulate these. Are

- A. My testimony is the document was made available by Eunetter Steele to help and assist in any way she could, Jim Garavaglia, the secretary to Jim Garavaglia, any assistant to Jim Garavaglia to get the job done. That's my testimony.
- Q. Okay. So this document was created. You were aware of it. You are saying that Jim was provided with it. Was expected to follow it but didn't right out of the gate and continuously throughout his employment. Did you not follow up with him? Did you not take any type of disciplinary action?
- A. I followed up with him, yes, and I followed up with him regularly.
 - Q. In what way?

2.2

2.5

- A. I gave Jim verbal reprimands regularly.
- Q. Did you ever put that in an e-mail?

 Anything related to this issue and his noncompliance with your expectation get memorialized in writing?
 - A. I'm not sure.
 - Q. So you're unaware if it did or didn't?
- A. I an unsure that I memorialized it in writing on this particular issue, I am unsure. But I'm very sure that I gave direct instructions to Jim on how to circulate documents. And I'm very sure

2.

2.2

Page 130

that he did not ever follow those instructions as I had given them to him. And it was very troubling to me that he couldn't do that, because I did take the time and the steps to continuously speak to him and also monitor and also speak to other professionals.

- Q. Then there were witnesses to all these conversations?
- A. There was not direct witnesses to when I spoke to Jim. But there are people that I spoke to about the problem.
 - Q. Okay. Who would those be?
- A. Well, I spoke to -- one of the persons that I spoke to was the financial advisor. I mentioned very casually that I was experiencing an issue. And her response was very short. And I decided that I needed to be more vigilant in my observation so that I would not miss or misinterpret or misunderstand whether there was a misunderstanding on Jim's part or his staff.
- Q. Okay. And what determinations did you make?
- A. I determined that after I had given Jim Garavaglia a directive and direct instructions, that not only did he not follow them, was that he was not going to follow them, is what I determined.

- Q. When did you make this determination?
- A. I made that determination after the fiasco that happened with the documents with the real estate closing of Vertical Realty. I knew then with that fiasco that Jim was never going to follow the directive and protocol and procedure to circulate the documents in a professional manner, in a proper manner, so that they could be signed and a deadline could be met properly.
- Q. All right. So describe this process to me. What -- give me the detailed description of exactly what should have been done and what should be done in each one of these cases?
- A. We have financial closings that involve investment bankers. But you also have financial closings or extensions or development deals. You have all of those kinds of dealings. Each of those kinds of deals, you have different protocols. But the bottom line is you have different persons who need to be available to sign the documents. And the job is to act in a sense of urgency to meet the deadlines on behalf of those who are developers, who are expecting that their project shall be closed on time. And on behalf of the City of St. Louis who's the issuer of the bonds in most cases.

2.

2.2

2.5

Page 132 1 City of St. Louis Airport is an 2 example. Water department, another example. Parking division, another example when it comes to 3 issuing bonds and expecting to adhere to deadlines 4 5 and meeting those deadlines. Because again, those are hard deadlines. The people who have to sign the 6 documents are not. How many of those deadlines were missed 8 Ο. 9 during Jim's tenure? 10 During Jim's tenure, the deadlines were -that were there for each of those were not all met 11 12 because of Jim. Because Jim --13 Ο. Is this documented? Yes, it is. 14 Α. So there's sales that --15 Ο. Yes, it is. For example, Vertical Real 16 Α. 17 Estate's closing, that deadline was met without Jim. 18 Ο. So it was met? 19 It was met because I'm observing and I 20 took a special role to observe once I found out that 21 Jim was not handling the documents properly. 2.2 Ο. So my question was, how many deadlines 23 were not met? 24 Α. I heard your question. And I gave you an 2.5 answer.

don't agree with you, but it's on the record.

- Q. (By Mr. Schmitz) Now, how many times were deadlines not met? One? Two? Five?
- A. There were no deadlines not met, but it was because of the Comptroller, Darlene Green, who is sitting here answering these questions to you and the staff of the comptroller put in place a procedure and a process to make sure deadlines are met. It is our job to do the right thing in the Office of the Comptroller to protect the integrity of the office, is what we were doing.
- Q. Well, I mean you're laying all the blame at the feet of Jim. So my question is, how many of these bonds were effected negatively and you've answered my questions. Now, how many bond sales were successfully completed during Jim's time as comptroller? Did you look at that?
 - A. Is that a question?
 - O. It is, yes.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

- A. Did I look at it?
- Q. Do you know the answer?
- 22 A. Do I know the answer to what?
 - Q. Do you know the answer?
- A. The answer to whether I looked at
- 25 something or the answer to how many bond deals

and Jim was present along with other members of the staff. There were just multiple meetings.

Q. Okay.

2.

- A. It was pretty common.
- Q. So I'm going to go back near the very beginning. Do you ever recall talking to him about his future in that job?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Did you talk to him about how long he intended to work?
- A. I wanted him to stay there as long as possible. That's my MO, modus of operandi. If I'm working, I want you to be working. I want you working with me. I want you working alongside me. I want you to be a partner in the job of working in the Office of the Comptroller.

I am very well-known to have been a person that promotes from within. And am very hopeful that the people who work for me want to work for me forever. Because I like to train, help educate, I want to see people do well. I want to see people that work for me to do well. And I've shown it in my practice. When I promote you, I have elevated you to a place of responsibility along with more salary. Not only to help you but help your

family.

2.

2.2

Because you have chosen to work in the Office of the Comptroller, I believe in giving a benefit for that. I believe that is something that will help the individual employee enjoy the job even that much more. So that they would want to continue on working for the Office of the Comptroller.

Because there was a lot of times that employees didn't get raises. But if they got a promotion, then a raise came with that. That I would hope that that would cause them to want to have a loyalty to the Office of the Comptroller and to work in the Office of the Comptroller. Because the Office of the Comptroller in the City of St. Louis was an office that I felt, I guess -- we did a lot of good for the community. I really believe that.

We were complimented a lot in terms of how we served the public, especially during times when the public would call, ask for services. And instead of us saying, sorry, you got the wrong office, we'd say wait one moment. We will direct you to the people that you need to talk to. And we were happy to have that reputation. And I was happy to have an employee that would want to stay with the

Office of the Comptroller. That had experience and that had worked in the community, that had family ties in the community. It was very important.

- Q. Did you ever talk to him about retirement in the beginning?
- A. I talked to him about retirement hoping that he would not retire, is what I would most definitely have said to him as well as employees that worked for me. I hope you wouldn't retire because we need you, want you, in the job.
- Q. Do you -- did you talk to him by phone or in person when you --
 - A. In person.
 - Q. No. When you offered him the job?
- A. I'm not sure if it was by phone or in person when I offered him the job. I don't know. I just can't recall right now.
- Q. Do you recall ever asking him if he was or stating to him that he would be going out on top?
- A. Well, in the most complimentary way I did.

 I was so happy that he was now making a lot more

 money than he would have been making had he not been

 promoted to the job. So that being the top job in

 the office, I was ecstatic for him. And I expressed

 that to him.

2.

Page 143 Because now instead of having an 1 2 Asset Manager II salary to retire on whenever he chose to do that, he would retire at the top 3 position that he could have in the Office of the 4 5 Comptroller. And by God, I was wanting to congratulate him on that. Because I was happy about 6 7 And I would hope that he would be happy about it. 8 9 Do you know if anybody else was a witness Ο. 10 to that conversation? 11 Α. I'm not sure. 12 Okay. You don't recall? Q. 13 Α. No, I don't recall. And did you ever think about if Jim 14 Ο. 15 retired or was no longer in that position, who his 16 replacement might be? 17 Α. Yes. I did think about that. 18 Okay. Did you have any thoughts or plans? Q. 19 Well, I thought about it in terms of the Α. 20 asset manager portion of it, is that what you're 21 asking, or are you asking about the deputy 22 comptroller? 23 Well, I'm asking if you ever thought about Ο. 24 who might replace him. 2.5 While he was in the position? Α.

Page 144 1 Ο. Yes. 2. Α. No. I know we talked about after he was in the 3 Ο. position, you guys met. And I'm not going to repeat 4 5 and get into that again. But I do want to ask about a meeting that may have been around March or April 6 of 2019 between you and Bev Fitzsimmons. I don't know if that's enough information to help you to 8 know if that meeting happened or not or if you 10 recall. But I'll ask that now. 11 Do you recall based on that 12 information, a meeting in or around March, 13 April '19 regarding your running for reelection? 14 No, I do not recall. Α. 15 Ο. Okay. Do you recall any specifics of any 16 conversation you had with Jim where you may have 17 asked him if he intended to retire and when? 18 Α. In the same year or could you --19 During any point. Ο. 20 I would have had a conversation with Jim Α. 21 and my other deputy comptroller as to whether they 2.2 would be in my office working for me as long as I was the comptroller. That would have been the 23 24 conversation that I would have and have had in the past with deputy comptrollers of the comptroller 2.5

since I had the job. Because every four years, I would not know whether I had a job.

2.

2.2

2.5

But I did know that as a civil servant, the employees that work for me, they would have a job. So I wanted to know if they were still wanting to be there working for me in the Office of the Comptroller. So that would have been the question that I would have had.

- Q. So you used the words "would have." Do you have any specific recollection of any particular meetings where you recall having that actual conversation?
- A. I would have made the statement similar to or in the context of hope -- that I would hope that they were not going to retire if I'm planning to run for reelection. Running for reelection never guaranteed whether I was going to be elected or not. And a high-level employee would be in jeopardy when or if I was not elected. I was clear about that.

I was very protective of my employees. So I didn't want to put any jeopardy in their way if I plan to just not run for reelection. So I'm saying it was like kind of a team, a work team, if you will.

Q. Do you recall actually having that

conversation, though, specifically?

- A. With Beverly and with Jim at some point. I met with them both --
 - Q. So you did --

2.

2.2

A. -- to let them know. This was June 11, 2019 in the 1520 building, the same day that there was a special E&A meeting scheduled. And I asked for a meeting with Beverly and I asked Jim to join me on that day. To instead of going to the E&A meeting, which was June 11, 2019, I would be absent and I asked that my staff be absent. And in lieu of going to the E&A meeting, we would meet and just talk. And that was June 11, 2019. And we talked about several issues.

One of the first ones was whether or not any of us had heard from the airport or the airlines regarding the issue of the airline or airport financing. Because that was the issue that the mayor wanted the special meeting about, to vote. And the airlines -- I had understood as I was going into that meeting, I just heard that the airlines had threatened to come or show up if there was such a meeting to be held.

So I thought it would be best to be outside of city hall and nowhere near just in case

there were some issues of press. Later after that meeting, we learned that the airlines had sent the mayor a letter at noon on that day letting her specifically what she needed to do with regard to that airport financing and what their purpose was. They said they prefer the comptroller's financing to whatever she was proposing. And that if there was such a vote that would go awry of that, that she would hear from them. And that letter came from the American Airline and Southwest Airline.

So that day was a very memorable day because of that issue. And I know who I was with.

I was with those -- and as a matter of fact, the meeting was at 2:00 at the same time the scheduled E&A meeting was.

- Q. And did this meeting include discussions about their future plans?
- A. It included conversations about whether or not -- in addition to the conversation, which a large part of the conversation had to do with the airport, and the other part had to do with whether or not they were wanting to be in the comptroller's office, that was what that was about. And I remember asking if they had any concerns. Do you have any concerns?

2.

2.2

Page 148 I remember asking about Jim's 1 2 secretary Sheila, because there had been some problems which was discussed about the circulation 3 of documents, which I was led to believe she was the 4 5 problem with that. So I made a point to ask him face-to-face about Sheila, was she okay, was she 6 7 going to be okay following the instructions. the point to talk about whatever it is that they had 8 asked me. That Beverly had asked. She was present. You know, the three of us was present in the 10 11 conference room. I believe we stayed for an hour or 12 less in the meeting. 13 Ο. Okay. Did you ever give Jim any service 14 ratings? 15 Α. I did not, except for when I had to by --16 I believe to his working test period. 17 Okay. Any particular reason why not? Q. 18 Because I don't give ratings generally to Α. 19 any of my employees. 20 You say generally, is --Q. 21 Generally unless they ask for it and force Α. 2.2 me. Did you give ratings to Bev? 23 Q. 24 For her working test period, I believe I Α. did. 2.5

Page 153 1 that. 2. If you know, whose decision was it to 3 have him immediately escorted off the premises without even an opportunity to get his personal 4 5 items? I don't know if that was a decision made 6 7 that was -- I don't know or not. But what I know is that Judy Armstrong is the appointing authority for 8 9 personnel. And that she had the authority to set a 10 process in place for managing all personnel actions. 11 Were you aware this was going to happen Ο. 12 when he was presented with this forced leave? 13 Α. I was aware that he would be presented with the information. I was aware of that, yes. 14 15 Ο. Okay. What about being escorted out, not 16 being allowed to take personal items, that sort of 17 thing? 18 I don't know if I was aware at the time, I 19 don't know. 20 Why did you decide to place him on forced Ο. 21 leave instead of issue a pretermination notice? 2.2 I had a couple of weeks of disturbing 23 incidents. One being at the E&A meeting. second being the second week, the Wednesday before 24 the Vertical Realty documents needed to be signed, 25

2.

Page 154

which was that Friday they needed to be signed, but on that Wednesday, I got an e-mail from Tom Ray, outside attorney who warned our office that there would be trouble he believed, because he learned that Jim Garavaglia had put the documents in the interoffice mail.

So in that e-mail, I discerned that the attorney was trying to protect the integrity of the office with a warning about the documents. And so I took steps. I was reminded of what had happened the week before. And I said, okay, here we are. Week two, let's get a plan together so that we can have a smooth landing.

And so I took it upon myself to ask my secretary to set up a conference call. Tom Ray, I said, place him on the conference call with the secretary of the mayor, which was Sherry Wibbenmeyer, myself, my secretary, and Jim Garavaglia. Those five people on the call.

Q. What date was this?

A. This was Wednesday, June 26. And it was roughly around 4:00, a little after 4:00. We had been given -- the e-mail had been received after 2:00 from Tom Ray, outside counsel, warning my office about pending trouble because documents had

2.

2.2

Page 155

been placed in interoffice mail. In that e-mail,

Tom Ray stated that Jim should not have asked

Sherry, the secretary, to place the documents in the interoffice mail.

- Q. Is Tom Ray familiar with the circulation process for the City?
- A. He's extremely familiar with them. He's worked over 30 years plus on finances and anything and everything to do with the Office of the Comptroller. He was a former City counselor. And his job was to be counsel to the Office of the Comptroller. And subsequent to that, he joined the law firm of Armstrong Teasdale and continued to work on financial matters for the Office of the Comptroller.

So he was familiar with the operations that had been put in place by Eunetter Steele about circulating documents. And he had the acute understanding that the secretaries had to make sure that their bosses were in a place to sign the documents. As a matter of fact, that e-mail was sent to Chana Morton because of his acute knowledge of how the circulation process worked.

Of course he would sound the alarm when he found out that the documents had been placed

2.

2.5

Page 156

in interoffice mail, because he probably never heard of such. And he stated in that e-mail that Jim should have never put those documents in the interoffice mail. These were important real estate documents. As a matter of fact, they were down the hall at the mayor's office. And the format that Tom Ray was used to, one or the other secretary would walk the documents down. If Sherry was busy, then my secretary would walk down the hall and get the documents and have them ready for review.

So that's the common practice that

Tom Ray was used to. And he also stated those very

words in his e-mail. He said: Sherry should have

walked the documents down.

So in answer to your question, why did I consider a forced leave instead of immediate disciplinary action, is because I needed to think about what the disciplinary action should look like.

- Q. What do you mean by that, you needed to see what the disciplinary action would look like?
- A. I wasn't clear. Jim was a valued employee I had. Jim had many years in the Office of the Comptroller. I said, no way I'm going to fire this dude. I said, I'm going to look at this. I'm going to see what we need to do here. So I called up and

had a discussion with the Director of Personnel and explained the problem that I had, and gave him in detail. And he told me, he said: Well, Jim's a high level employee of yours. We have forced leave to deal with employees like that. Then you can make your decision.

I said: Well, you know, you're right. So I chose to look at forced leave that would give me at least ten to 14 days to make a decision that was fair to Jim.

- Q. Did you want --
- A. I wanted to make a fair -- if you want me to finish, I will.
 - O. Go ahead.
- A. I wanted to make a fair decision.

 Something that was fair to Jim. I didn't want to make a decision that was hasty. I wanted time to think, because these were serious matters. I wanted to know Jim understood how serious this was. This is City money. This is a project that we had been working tirelessly on for many, many years. And we finally got it to a place where these guys were going to get this hotel built. And we've got one more extension. Everybody was hanging on, letting me get this one more extension.

2.

2.2

Page 158 This was a project that started out 1 2. of our office, out of the Office of the Comptroller. So I was very familiar with it and had been familiar 3 with it for years. And I was very supportive of it. 4 5 I wanted it to be successful. I wanted Jim to be 6 successful. 7 Ο. Did you make the forced leave decision on July 1? 8 9 Α. I made that forced leave decision on -- on 10 the 28th, on that Friday. 11 When did you decide that you were going to 0. 12 be seeking termination? 13 Α. I never decided that I was going to be 14 seeking termination. 15 0. Can you look at what's marked Plaintiff's 16 Exhibit 0? 17 A. Yes, I have it. 18 (Whereupon Exhibit O was marked 19 for identification.) 20 Q. (By Mr. Schmitz) If you could turn to the 21 fifth page within that packet, it's dated July 2, 2.2 2019. And it's addressed to Mr. Richard Frank from 23 you. 24 Α. It's July 2? July 2, 2019, yes. This would be the 25 Q.

Page 159 fifth document in this packet. 1 To Richard Frank from me? Α. Yes. Hold on. I might be counting wrong. 3 Ο. Yeah. I'm on three pages. This is five 4 Α. 5 right here. MR. NORWOOD: No. He --6 7 THE WITNESS: He said five. MR. NORWOOD: Are you talking about the 8 9 third page? 10 Q. (By Mr. Schmitz) Hold on. Let me get it 11 right. Sorry. Yes, the letter to Jim -- we'll go 12 with the letter to Jim. 13 Α. That's the one on the fifth page. 14 Right. Addressed to him from you dated Ο. 15 July 2. 16 Α. Yes. 17 Okay. Can you just read the first Q. 18 sentence of that paragraph? 19 As of Monday, July 2, 2019, you are being 20 placed on an official forced leave pending a 21 pretermination hearing. 2.2 Ο. Okay. So you would agree that a 23 pretermination hearing was already presented as early as July 2? 24 25 That was the process. That's what it was Α.

Page 160 titled, a pretermination hearing. It was not meant 1 2. to be a termination, otherwise it would have stated such. I was aware that I had options to discipline 3 instead of forced leave. I chose forced leave 4 5 instead of discipline. I wanted to make sure that the discipline that I chose was appropriate for the 6 7 employee. Can you circle back to the second and 8 Ο. 9 third pages of this exhibit? 10 Α. Yes. 11 You see there's two different letters to Ο. 12 Richard Frank both dated July 2? 13 Α. Yes. 14 Okay. Can you tell me why there was two Ο. 15 separate letters sent to him? 16 The first one and the second one. 17 The first one I'm seeing is the one that had more detail describing actions taken by Jim Garavaglia. 18 19 The second one does not describe those actions. I 20 was advised by counsel to be more clear, that Jim 21 did not -- would not know why he was being put on 2.2 forced leave. 23 MS. HAMILTON: I'm going to object to --24 that those conversations are attorney-client

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com
888-391-3376

25

privilege.

Page 168 know who Ashley McClain is? 1 With the Civil Service Commission. 2. Α. 3 Were you aware that a civil service 0. hearing had been scheduled as of July 11? 4 5 Based on the appeal that was filed. Well, did you receive notice, this same 6 Ο. 7 notice -- and I'm going to ask you to just turn the page to this Notice of Institution of Case and 8 9 Hearing. Did you receive a copy of that from the 10 Commission? 11 Not personally, no. Α. 12 Okay. But were you made aware of it, 0. 13 then, at some point? 14 Α. Yeah. 15 Q. So you were aware it was scheduled for 16 July 23? 17 I don't recall if I was aware at the time. Α. 18 All right. Related to his forced leave Ο. 19 and making your decision, after he was placed on 20 forced leave on July 2, what did you do to assist 21 you in making that determination? I can repeat the 22 question. Please do. 23 Α. 24 So relative to you making the decision as Ο. what to do after he was placed on forced leave, Jim, 25

Page 169 I mean, what actions or steps did you take to help 1 2. you in making that decision? One of the things, that I spoke with 3 Α. 4 counsel. 5 Okay. Did you do anything else? No need to talk about what counsel said. We're going to not 6 talk about that. I probably spoke once again to either 8 Α. 9 Linda Thomas or the Director of Personnel. Those 10 would have been the steps. 11 Okay. If you skip forward a few more Ο. 12 pages, you'll see a memorandum with Nancy Kistler, 13 with the City counselor's office, Ms. Morton, your 14 secretary, dated July 12, 2019. 15 Α. Yes. 16 Are you familiar with this? Ο. 17 Α. Yes. 18 Okay. Who requested that she prepare Q. 19 this? 20 Counsel. Α. 21 All right. All right. Can you 22 fast-forward, then, past those two documents to a 23 memorandum from you to Richard Frank dated July 15, 2019. Do you see that? 24

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com
888-391-3376

Is it dated July 15?

25

Α.

I think it's the other way around.

2.

2.2

MR. SCHMITZ: You're right. Strike that.

Q. (By Mr. Schmitz) So it's from Linda Thomas addressed to Rick. And it says: I told the comptroller to withdraw her request for forced leave on J.G.

Do you have any recollection of Linda

Thomas telling you to withdraw your request for

forced leave?

- A. As I stated before, I was in consultation with Linda Thomas and the Director of Personnel along with counsel during those periods of time seeking direction.
- Q. Right. So I don't want you to answer anything that involves counsel's advice or direction or the content of those conversations, but this is an e-mail where Linda Thomas is making a representation to Richard Frank about something she asked you.

So I'm asking you in that limited capacity, do you recall her telling you to withdraw her -- your request, excuse me, for forced leave?

A. I recall seeking direction and Linda giving me direction. The auditor -- state auditors were in the Office of the Comptroller performing an

audit. And I recall speaking to Linda about that.

2.

2.2

2.5

The auditors had called within days of Jim being placed on forced leave. The state auditor specifically told me that she had read the paper and learned that Jim was on forced leave. And that she recognized he was a high-level employee. And specifically she asked, do I have anything to worry about -- or do we have anything to worry about? And at the time, I told her no, because I didn't believe she did. That was within the 14 days.

After learning that there were documents that were official City documents that were contracts and they were signed by Jim Garavaglia, within a few days later, I called that auditor back. And I said, I know you're in the office auditing and you are auditing contracts. We have learned that there's a reason for you to be concerned.

They asked me to send them the documents. And I did so. I sent the auditors the documents that had been signed by Jim Garavaglia.

And they told me that they would get back to me.

Asked what they would do. And they said they would let me know.

2.5

Page 175

So this would have been in a few days. And then I discussed all of this with Linda Thomas as to what to do now since this is coming to the time we're going to have a hearing and all of this. She let me know there's nothing wrong with expending an investigation now that you heard from the auditors, now that they're involved. She said those things in this e-mail, giving me instructions on what I needed to do.

- Q. Did the auditor ever present you with a report?
- A. The auditors didn't get back to me in a timely manner. And I did take it upon myself to call to find out if, in fact, there was going to be an audit performed. And I finally got an answer from the auditors that they were not going to audit the documents that I sent, because they had a specific scope of work that they were interested in completing, which was fiscal year 2018. And those documents are outside of their scope of work. And they said they would not be giving me any audit report on that. And that answer came from them in, I believe, August.
- Q. Did they ever subsequently do an audit on 2019?

- A. I never followed up. As the comptroller of the City, it was my responsibility to follow up if, in fact, I wanted them to take further steps to specifically audit the records surrounding those documents that were signed by Jim Garavaglia.
- Q. Okay. So you didn't ask -- just so I'm clear on your answer, you didn't ask and they did not do it; is that correct?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. Thank you. If you could turn the page from that, you see there's a letter to Mr. Frank again dated July 18.
 - A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. And again you're requesting he be placed on forced leave?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Did you then withdraw your original request for forced leave as recommended by Linda Thomas?
- A. I believe that is the letter dated July 18 to Richard Frank stating as such.
- Q. Well, I see that you're requesting it.

 Just so I'm clear, had you already withdrawn your

 prior requests dated July 2, had you actually

 withdrawn that request before you issued --

Ms. Morton on behalf of you, using your e-mail address, wrote: Dear Mr. Frank, my apologies.

Please see attached revised letter.

2.

2.2

Do you know why approximately 25 minutes after Mr. Frank was informed that a letter had been hand delivered, she then sent a revised letter?

A. From what I'm reading here, it states from Richard Frank that he wrote: Thank you.

And he wrote: Could you also add words "serious" and/or "fiscal" before improprieties to strengthen and clarify.

- Q. Right. I believe, unless I'm wrong, that's in response to what Ms. Morton wrote prior to that. Because what she wrote is time stamped 2:50.
 - A. And two minutes later, he writes --
- Q. And I'm going to get to that. My question was not -- I don't want to confuse the issue. My question was, do you know why Chana sent a revised letter 25 minutes later before he responded two minutes later? Because it appears there was a letter delivered that was sent and then there's no subsequent e-mail response that was provided to us from Richard Frank, but yet Chana, 25 minutes later, sent a revised letter. Do you know why there was a

Q. I just wanted to make sure that was still the case after your attorney asked that question, so.

So you were asking now for a 30-day extension?

A. Yes.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

- Q. All right. Why did you ask this at this time?
- A. I asked for this extension and additional time, because I had not heard from the auditor.
- Q. All right. And why did you not seek or issue -- Strike that.

Why did you not issue a notice of pretermination at this time?

- A. I asked for this extension, because I had not heard from the auditor. And I had preference -- or preferred that I hear a response from the auditor.
- Q. Did you believe at this point in time that you had a sufficient basis to request termination?
- A. Well, that wasn't my concern. My concern was to have a complete picture of the situation that we had and have a complete picture of my problem.

 And I thought that with the auditor's input, I would have more clarity and I would have more information.

Page 193 So I wanted to have the auditor's input. And so I 1 did discuss this with Linda Thomas and Richard 2. 3 Frank. And then this extension was requested. And it was later rejected. But it was requested because 4 5 I wanted the information. And I had not heard back from the state auditors. 6 7 0. Had you made your decision as to whether or not you were going to seek termination? 8 9 Α. No. I had not made a decision. 10 All right. I'm going to fast-forward Ο. to -- let me find it. It's near the end. It's a 11 12 letter dated August 28, 2019 from you to Richard 13 Frank. MR. NORWOOD: Towards the back. A little 14 15 bit further. 16 To Mr. Garavaglia? Α. 17 (By Mr. Schmitz) No, no. This is to you Q. from Director Frank. 18 19 Α. Director Frank? One page over. No. The 20 other way. 21 Okay. Do you have it? 0. 2.2 Α. Yes. I have the letter. 23 Do you know or can you tell me why you wrote this letter? Why did you withdraw your 24 request for forced leave on August 28, 2019? 25

- A. That I was preparing for the hearing, a pretermination hearing. This letter was to request the withdrawal of forced leave in preparation for setting a hearing date.
- Q. Okay. Were you aware there had been a Civil Service Commission hearing scheduled for the following day, August 28, 2019, regarding his forced leave, Jim's?
- A. No, I'm not sure I was aware. I don't recall being aware of that.
- Q. Were you aware a motion had been filed in advance of that hearing seeking a motion for continuance --
 - A. Yes.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

- Q. -- on the 16th of August seeking to continue the Civil Service Commission hearing date?
- A. I believe I may have been advised by counsel.
- Q. Were you aware that the hearing officer had denied a request for continuance?
 - A. I believe I was advised by counsel.
- Q. When you did make the decision to terminate Jim? Was it on August 28?
- A. I made the decision to have a pretermination hearing. And I made the decision to

have the hearing prior to putting the letter together.

2.

- Q. And why did you make that decision on that date?
- A. A pretermination hearing would afford me the opportunity to hear from Mr. Garavaglia and to hear from his point of view as he would explain to me his actions, his misconduct, the reasons why he was dishonest with me on several occasions.

And then of course after the hearing, I would have two weeks, according to civil service rules, to render a kind of discipline that would be appropriate after hearing from him. That it would have been unfair to only have one side of the story inserted into a decision for discipline. And I did not choose to make a one-sided decision for discipline.

Mr. Garavaglia had served the City for more than 30 years. And as his supervisor, I recognized and honored the time spent on the job. And so pretermination hearings afford not only the employee but the supervisor to have a sit-down in a formal manner to get an understanding as to what happened from both sides point of view.

Q. Did you not believe that that opportunity

Page 200 1 Α. Yes. 2. Ο. Do you recognize this e-mail chain that's 3 on this first page? 4 Α. Yes. 5 Okay. Do you know what this e-mail chain Ο. is discussing or what it relates to? 6 7 Α. Yes. Okay. Can you tell me? 8 Ο. 9 Α. On this first page, this e-mail chain is 10 Beverly Fitzsimmons corresponding with Jim Garavaglia as to whether or not he has told me about 11 12 the extension for the real estate project. Beverly 13 is admonishing Jim Garavaglia, because she is stating to him that he had told her that I was okay 14 with it. As she states, You had told me she was 15 16 okay with it. Is what I'm reading here that Beverly 17 Fitzsimmons is saying to Jim in an e-mail. Then she's telling him that she --18 19 and the "she" is referring to myself -- she told me 20 that she was not. Did you work this out with her 21 yesterday? 2.2 And then Jim is replying to her: I did not talk with her about it, but I will. 23 24 And then Beverly is responding: Please do it soon, because Stephanie is trying to 25

Page 201 1 finish the agenda. 2. And the agenda she's referring to is 3 This was the Tuesday before E&A. This would have been at 8:40 in the morning when this 4 5 conversation ended. 6 Ο. When was E&A? 7 Wednesday, the next day. Α. Okay. Did you discuss this with Jim on 8 Ο. 9 that date? 10 Α. On Tuesday? 11 Ο. Yes. 12 I believe Jim called me on the day after Α. 13 he had this discussion with Bev. So the day of the E&A? 14 0. 15 Α. He called me on the Tuesday after he 16 discussed on that same Tuesday morning after Bev 17 asked him to discuss with me. And he did say that 18 he would. And then he called me. 19 All right. And what did he tell you Ο. 20 during that phone call? 21 I don't recall the exact conversation but 2.2 it was something to the effect that the mayor's office wanted to put documents for the extension on 23 24 E&A. And I thought that was really odd and strange since the project was the project from the 25

2.

2.2

Page 202

comptroller's office of which Jim was the leader of the project from its inception even when he was the asset manager.

So I was listening to Jim at this point to hear what else he was going to say after saying that to me. I was like hm-hm, that was kind of like not what I would hear when he finally called me the day before E&A. Oh, Comptroller, the mayor's office is going to put this on as an item. Do you want me to send them the documents?

Another strange thing out of the ordinary, send them the items. Jim, I think you already sent them the items, is what I already knew as a fact. That's when you had pre E&A, which is a week earlier. The Wednesday before the Wednesday meeting, you discuss with people what you want to add to the agenda. And by that Tuesday before, Beverly had already informed me that this item was being added or attempted to be added by Jim.

So that's why she was having the back and forth with Jim, because I needed to hear from Jim because Jim was in charge; not Beverly. This is a direct example of how two deputies must coordinate and communicate together in order -- as a team.

This is team comptroller's office. And we're in

2.

Page 203

charge of this particular project. And so both deputies needs to have an understanding that this is a go or it's not. And Jim was the No. 1 person with that knowledge.

Beverly could not usurp his knowledge in any way, shape or form as the Muni Court project, because she was working with the attorneys on both sides. That would be Tom Ray representing the City and that would be the Spencer Fane attorneys representing the developers. Jim was all in the mix. And he was supposed to inform me as the comptroller, as well as any other person in the comptroller's office, that had anything to do with helping this project come along.

- Q. Did you ask -- did you ask him why he had waited that long to talk to you?
- A. I listened to Jim on the call, on the Tuesday morning call where he called me and told me. He is telling me the mayor's office wants to put this on the agenda. Comptroller, show us in the document. I was stunned. I believe, but not sure, that I may have said to him something to do with the taxes. But I don't know if I said that, because that was what was on my mind.

That was what I was unclear as to

whether there had been tax clearance. I don't know if Beverly knew. What I did know is that the conversation with Beverly and myself was we're not sure what's going on with the project. And I couldn't -- she couldn't tell me. I said, I don't know, because I haven't heard from Jim.

- Q. Did you ask him?
- A. I didn't ask Jim to do anything except when he asked me, shall I send the documents to the mayor? I said, send the documents to me. Now --
 - Q. When did you talk to Bev before that?
- A. I talked to Bev probably right before she talked to Jim. Because I told her that I hadn't heard from Jim. She asked me had I heard from Jim. No, I haven't heard from him.
- Q. Did you follow up with Jim to ask him those questions?
 - A. Jim called me.
 - O. Right. I know. But before that.
- A. Beverly asked me -- and I'm trying to answer, because you asked me did I follow up with Jim. And I think you asked me after I talked to Beverly.
- O. Correct. Before he reached out to you?
- 25 A. No.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

item. And that's the Muni Court item. Jim had told me earlier in the month, the first week in June, about the item and the extension that he thought was coming. He said, Comptroller, I don't think it's going to go.

anymore from Jim about the item. Now, that's important, because the item was time sensitive. Jim is deputy director -- deputy comptroller for Finance & Development in charge of this project, not the mayor's office, not SLDC. The comptroller's office under the direction of Jim Garavaglia, who's worked consistently with this item.

- O. What information do you know about --
- A. And as I complete my -- before you start, I heard from Jim on the Tuesday before E&A which was the second time I heard from him about the item. So I'm done with my response. I heard from him the first week of June. And the second time I heard from him was the day before E&A. In the meantime, I was hearing from Beverly about the item.
 - Q. Okay. Are you done?
 - A. Yes.

2.

2.2

Q. All right. Do you have any knowledge that things change quickly in those final days before the

E&A meeting?

2.

2.2

- A. Absolutely. And that is why I should have been updated and kept in the loop by Jim about the item on a regular basis. On a day-to-day basis, sometimes hourly, sometimes every minute, because this was a time sensitive item. So Jim had information about the item and whether it had to do with the taxes, whether it had to do with a default. The comptroller should have been updated about that, but I was not.
- Q. What basis do you have to believe that he had concrete information to give you?
- A. The basis is having looked at these e-mails, in particular the ones from Beverly who is insisting that he speak with me, because I'm telling her from the knowledge that I had earlier because Jim had already told me, hey, I don't think it's going to go. So what does Beverly know? She doesn't have the knowledge that Jim knows. I know that as the comptroller, because I know what he has put into the project. She hasn't.

So if he knows that this is something that should go, then why don't I know as the comptroller of the City of St. Louis. I should be well-apprised of the activities of this particular

Page 211 project. And that should have come from Jim 1 2. Garavaglia, because it couldn't come from Beverly. Had she had knowledge of it, I believe she would 3 have told me about the deal and whether it should 4 5 But her answers to me were only, Comptroller, I don't know, I don't know, I don't know. 6 7 So I said, Well, I'll hear from him. I'll hear from him. Because in that month, we had 8 9 the closing of the refinancing of the airport deal, 10 which was highly volatile. We also had this deal, 11 which I thought was not volatile at all, because we 12 had been working on it so diligently for so long. 13 Tom Ray, who was the outside professional attorney, 14 was very diligent in handling anything and everything that had to do with this deal. 15 16 As I look back and see e-mails from 17 Tom Ray regarding this deal, he's the one that 18 helped protect the integrity of the office when it 19 came to making sure this deal came to fruition when 20 Jim did not. 21 What do you know about the other attorney, 22 not Tom Ray, the developer's attorney? 23 Α. Denny? 24 Ο. Right. Requesting to the mayor's office that the item be added to the agenda? 25

Page 214 website and the comptroller's office. 1 2. Ο. What's that person's title? 3 That's Tyson Pruitt, who is the public Α. information officer, in the Office of the 4 5 Comptroller, reports to the comptroller. He became aware of a posting. Then he made me aware of that 6 7 posting. Then he told me that he was going to take care of it. 8 9 Ο. Okay. Did he tell you where that came 10 from? 11 He told me it came from the office of the Α. 12 mayor. 13 Ο. Okay. And how did he know that? 14 Because he is the computer communications 15 person, and I believe he made inquiries, proper 16 inquiries, to determine where the posting came from. 17 Okay. But you don't know who those Q. 18 inquiries were to? 19 I did not ask him who he inquired. I Α. would believe he would have to check with web 20 21 people, web communications type IT people. So that 22 they could identify who made postings. Did you ever talk to Bev about all of this 23 Ο. after the fact, after the E&A meeting had taken 24

place?

25

A. I did.

2.

- Q. What did she tell you of that meeting?
- A. After the meeting had taken place, she -I spoke to her the next day, because I had never
 heard a mayor -- any mayor read e-mails of -- of an
 employee of other E&A member's office. I was
 stunned. Bev told me she was stunned. And we were
 both embarrassed. We spoke on the phone about that.

I said: Bev, talk to me about this e-mail that we didn't see or I didn't know about.

She said: Oh, yeah. There's an e-mail thread among pre-E&A.

I said: Oh. What about it?

And she explained. In her

explanation, she made me to understand that when

there's pre-E&A items come to the table of E&A and

then there are e-mail discussions back and forth as

to what's presented and what the other offices think

about it and they take time to comment.

And so I asked her to send them to me. I said, send them to me so I can see what you're talking about. When I saw the e-mails, I did see where discussions had been between the E&A members, and in particular I'm talking about this item, the president of the board had decided that he

did not want to hear the item.

2.

2.5

The item was sent from Jim, I believe it was the Friday, the 14th, to the pre -- to Beverly, who is my pre-E&A person, and asking her to add it. And then she immediately sent it to the other members, Tom Shepherd and -- which represents the president of the board, Tom Waelterman, who represents the mayor, for consideration. Her words were something like, here's this extension again. That was the Friday or Monday. The president of the board's office responded, we don't think we want to see this item at this time.

The mayor's office from Tom

Waelterman, I don't believe there was a response.

And that is how I learned or at least part of how I learned how the mayor got the e-mails that she read, because automatically they would have come to the mayor's office and president of the board's office, because Beverly sent the item that Jim had requested be placed on the agenda.

And so that's how I learned about the e-mail that the mayor did.

Q. And it's your contention that you believe the item was not going to be on the agenda at that time?

2.

2.2

Page 217

A. I wasn't sure about that, because I hadn't heard from Jim. And that's very sincere. I didn't know. I even told Beverly prior to the meeting, I was like, Beverly, I don't know. I haven't heard from Jim. I don't know what's going on. And I needed to hear from Jim. I needed to be in the loop. I needed to be updated about the item, because I was hearing that there were problems. In fact, Jim had said earlier in the month, I don't think it's going to go.

So those words were still with me in the month of June. This is the month of June. We haven't even gotten to the middle of June. The first, second week of June, I had traveled to Chicago I recall. I had to talk about financing of municipal jails. We had financed a jail 20 years earlier. I was asked to speak about that. So I know in the first week of June, that kind of thing I was doing, among the other big ticket item which was the airport refinance of which the mayor was asking for lots of explanations and answering questions.

So I had a lot on my plate in terms of what I was working on in addition to this particular Muni Court item. This was a big item.

That those things were big. And this was between, I

2.

Page 218

guess, the first week in August. And I guess you get to the third week, which is the third Tuesday -- I mean the third Wednesday, which is when the E&A meeting occurred.

But prior to the regular E&A, the mayor called for an E&A meeting on June 11, which we previously talked about. So I prepared for that meeting. And then chose not to go because of the airline situation of which the airlines was displeased with the mayor having stated that she would have a special meeting to vote on the refinancing. They were very displeased. So that was also in play in that time period.

And as I was sitting with Jim on that day, Jim never discussed with me any updates that he would have had about the Muni Court project. He had all the opportunity in the world. He had my full attention on June 11 to talk to me about the Muni project whether or not they had paid their taxes, whether or not he thought the deal was going to go, whether or not he wanted to put it on E&A. He did not ask me. That was June 11.

And I'll finish so that you can start.

Q. You're saying he talked to you earlier in

Page 219 the month. And now you're saying way back on 1 June 11, he had an opportunity to talk to you. I said earlier in the month --3 Α. What date was that? 4 Ο. 5 That would have been the first week in Α. 6 June. 7 Why do you think that he had new 0. information to give you as of June 11? 8 9 Α. I am saying that if he had information on 10 that date, I was available. Okay. But you don't know if he did or did 11 Ο. 12 not? 13 Α. I stated I did not know anything. What I do know is he didn't talk to me about it. I'm not 14 aware that he had information or that he did not 15 16 have information. I am aware that I was available 17 and made myself available. We were face-to-face. 18 And I'm aware that nothing from Jim about the Muni 19 Court project was talked to about on that day while 20 we were in a meeting. 21 Were you unavailable at other times in 2.2 that month? I was in Chicago at a conference that I 23

A. I was in Chicago at a conference that I just spoke to you about. That would have been the first week -- between the first and second week of

24

25

2.2

Page 221

Q. So this miscommunication here, which you still got your answers the day before E&A as we've testified, what policies does that violate in your opinion?

MS. HAMILTON: I would object that that mischaracterizes the witness' testimony.

But to the extent you want to answer the question, you can answer.

MR. NORWOOD: I join in that objection and also think it might call for a legal conclusion, but subject to that.

- A. Well, as I understand the question, I never told you that there was any violation. And I never told you there was a miscommunication. I never said that. You're injecting that now.
- Q. (By Mr. Schmitz) Do you consider it a violation?
- A. And I never told you I consider it a violation. What I consider is insubordination for an employee of mine who had direct instructions to keep me in the loop as the deputy comptroller for Finance & Development, and I was not kept in the loop.

In addition, on the Tuesday, I believe there was -- I was spoken to with

- misinformation. That's different from miscommunication. I was directly communicated with, with dishonesty. I was told a lie on that phone.
- Q. You said you believe. So why do you believe that?

2.

2.2

- A. Because his words were: The mayor wants to add the item to the agenda. Do you want me to send the documents to the mayor's office? I had to consider what I knew to be true versus what he was saying to me on the phone. They didn't connect.
 - Q. What did you know to be true?
- A. What I knew to be true from Beverly was that Jim was adding the item. He's telling me that the mayor's adding the item. So I didn't know who to believe. Remember, this is at 9:00 something in morning.
 - Q. So you're saying --
- A. Later on in the day -- I'm answering the question as fully as I can, because it does sound strange here. But these are the facts.

I heard from Beverly. Then I heard from Jim. Then I heard from Tyson Pruitt who's telling me the mayor's posting. So now what Jim is saying at 9:00, I'm hearing from Tyson later in the day, that there's this posting.

Q. Well, that tends to suggest that it's true, does it not?

2.

A. It suggests in the moment that I'm hearing from Jim, and he's not telling me anything at all about him adding the project, he's telling me about the mayor. I hadn't heard from him about his activities. He's my employee. I need to hear your activities. I don't want you to tell me about somebody else that's not in our office. You're on our team. What are you doing with regard to this project? Like I had heard in all the months in the past.

Every single time prior to this time, Jim had updated me properly. And I had proper information when this item -- this, as a matter of fact, is probably the third extension. The other two extensions, I had no problems hearing from Jim about why this project should go on the E&A or not. Beverly never informed me about whether the project should go on the agenda or not. It was always Jim.

As a matter of fact, if you look at the agendas, you will see that it's coming from deputy director -- I mean deputy comptroller for development, Finance & Development. That's who places it on the agenda. And those discussions then

have to be had between the supervisor, which is me, Comptroller Green, and deputy comptroller, which is Jim, had been had. First time it was put on the agenda. Second time it was put on the agenda for this. And this third time, I don't know what happened.

- Q. Again, the claim that the mayor -- you're saying that that was a lie, that the mayor wanted to put -- I'm not hearing any evidence. Whether Jim wanted to add it or not, how is that evidence that the mayor's office didn't also want to add the item?
- A. It's evidence because -- or what I heard in the early hour was contrary to what I knew at the early hour. Notwithstanding all of what Jim knew.
- Q. What did you know about the mayor's office adding it to the agenda at that early hour?
 - A. What Jim told me.
- Q. Okay. Did you have anything that suggested that that was false?
 - A. Yes, I did.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

- Q. And what is that?
- A. The knowledge from Beverly.
- Q. So Beverly had direct knowledge that the mayor's office did not want to add it to the agenda?
 - A. What she had was the knowledge that Jim

And there would be others that a counselor or lawyer would know that I would not know perhaps.

Q. All right.

(Whereupon Exhibit S was marked for identification.)

- Q. (By Mr. Schmitz) So I have what's marked Plaintiff's Exhibit S, which the first page is a letter stating it's from you to Jim dated July 21, 2017. Do you see that page?
- A. Yes.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

- 11 Q. All right. Do you recall writing this 12 letter?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Okay. Why did you write the letter?
 - A. I wrote the letter to inform Jim that he did not have and he was not authorized to sign agreements on behalf of the City of St. Louis as deputy comptroller for Finance & Development. The signature that he signed on an agreement with the composting company were erroneous. They were extensions between the City of St. Louis and the St. Louis composting company. And it was a reminder to Jim, because I had verbally told him one year earlier that I recall when he asked if he has signature authority, and I verbally told him he did

not.

2.

2.2

And I recall that so clearly because Tom Ray called me right after I had the discussion with Jim about signature authority. And at the time, I thought since he was brand-new on the job, that he maybe thought this came with the new job. I explained that it did not. I explained Beverly Fitzsimmons, who was the deputy comptroller, was the only designated one besides myself that had the authority to sign agreements on behalf of the City, binding the City. And that he did not have that authority.

So when I saw this signature wherein Jim Garavaglia was crossing out my name and title on a contract extension and replacing it with his name and title and signing it in an attempt to inform the contractor, as well as the Department where the contractor was contracting with which was the Forestry Department, that there was a new procedure wherein his signature was good.

I had to inform Jim that he was incorrect. And that I stated in this e-mail, as you can see, I'm puzzled as to how this could happen.

This is an improper procedure. And I asked Jim to please work with Beverly Fitzsimmons so that

2.

Page 240

Michelle Graham, who was in contract compliance office, can process and provide a properly executed extension. Because what he had provided was not properly executed. And it would be putting the City and the contractor at risk.

- Q. Did you ever have a discussion with Jim about any opinions related by the City counselor's office related to this?
- A. No. Jim did not contact me after he went to the City counselor's office seeking their advice. Had he contacted me, then we could have had that discussion. But I was unaware that he was seeking advice outside of the advice I had given him directly as his supervisor. Jim did not follow my advice.

But I was fortunate that when he did seek the advice of Tom Ray who was outside counsel, Tom Ray e-mailed me to let me know that Jim asked him about signing documents. And that reminded me that I had a verbal with Jim about signing documents. And Tom Ray then e-mailed me that subsequent to my discussion with Jim that he asked whether he had signatures authority obviously. And Tom Ray told him that he would contact me.

As a matter of fact, Jim is copied on

Tom Ray's e-mail. So that Tom Ray is letting Jim know he is checking with the supervisor, which is me, which is basically what Jim should have done. In the cases where Jim sought other counsel, those counselors did not e-mail me. So I was not aware and could not have ever been aware that Jim sought advice to sign documents when his direct supervisor had given him a direct order not to sign the documents, which I did verbally as well as in writing as you can see that's in this memorandum today.

- Q. Did you do anything other than verbally and in writing bring this to his attention?
 - A. I did not.
- Q. Do you have any knowledge of this issue with an unauthorized signature as you listed in the title that occurred after July 21, 2017?
- A. I believe I -- I don't recall if the AT&T invoice was 2017. And I believe there may have been another AT&T subsequent to that. But I'm not sure. I believe I saw one either in 2018 and/or in 2019. There was multiple AT&T documents signed by Jim that had multiple dates. So I'm not sure if the July 2017 was the last one, if that's what your question is, if that's the last one or if there were

2.

Page 245 (By Mr. Schmitz) I'm going to draw your 1 attention to what's now marked Plaintiff's Exhibit 2. Do you recognize this document? 3 Α. 4 Yes. 5 Okay. What is this? Ο. It's internal audit document. 6 Α. 7 All right. Do you see what's Bates Ο. stamped, third page, GRN 000627? 8 9 Α. Yes. 10 All right. That's a letter or a memo that Ο. 11 was written by Ishmael Ikpeama. Do you know that 12 individual? 13 Α. Yes. And who is he? 14 Ο. 15 Α. He's an employee of the comptroller's 16 office. I believe he's retired. 17 All right. So was he an employee at the Q. time that this was written? 18 19 Α. Yes. 20 All right. And did his position which is 0. 21 listed here as internal audit supervisor fall under 2.2 the supervision of the deputy comptroller of Finance & Development? 23 24 Yes. At that time. Α. 25 All right. Have you seen these documents Q.

Page 246 in this exhibit? 1 Α. During this process, I have. 3 All right. Are you aware of any e-mails 0. between Dr. Ikpeama and Jim and Sonia? 4 5 During this process, I became aware of 6 some. 7 Would you say it's a responsibility of the 0. deputy comptroller for Finance & Development to 8 9 ensure that the findings of this audit are accurate? 10 Α. No. 11 Do you believe that getting this done Ο. 12 timely according to the timeline set out by 13 Dr. Ikpeama supercedes the need to get the information accurate? 14 15 You mean putting out a report versus --16 Let me ask it differently. What is more Ο. 17 important -- Jim, as the supervisor for Dr. Ikpeama, 18 what is more important that he follow Dr. Ikpeama's 19 self-created deadline or that Jim ensures that the information is accurate? 20 21 MR. NORWOOD: Let me object. I think 2.2 that's a loaded question and assumes a lot of facts that are not in evidence. 23 24 And subject to that, though, you can answer the question. 25

THE WITNESS: I really don't understand.

It sounds like you're asking me who's more important.

- Q. (By Mr. Schmitz) No, I'm not asking you who's more important at all.
- A. You're saying that Ishmael didn't have any processes and procedures to follow. You just said self-imposed. So you took me away when you said that. Because I thought it was apparent to me that you are characterizing the two. So please help me understand what you really want to know.
 - Q. Where does his deadline come from?
- A. His processes and procedures and his supervisor. He would have had someone supervising him. And in his profession as a CPA and an auditor, internal audit, he would have understood his processes and how long he should have to do a review like this.
 - Q. And that comes from his supervisor?
 - A. Yes.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

- Q. Okay. And who did that supervisor --
- A. That would have been whoever at the time was -- that would have been -- it would not have been Jim Garavaglia in terms of deadlines, if that's what you're asking. Jim did not -- was not and is

not an auditor in the office. He's a temporary -had temporary oversight of the department but not
the function. And that's a distinction. This is an
audit function. That Jim could not tell them how to
do their independent jobs.

These were professionals, and licensed professionals to add to that. These are licensed professionals who could lose their license if they were to do things outside of the accountancy and audit and the audit rules. So there are audit rules that govern their job.

- O. What rules are those?
- A. The audit rules that govern the auditor's jobs are spelled out for auditors. I'm not an auditor. So there are rules for auditors like there are rules for lawyers and accountants. So their rules would have dictated what they would have had to do with regard to this review in terms of setting the processes in place to audit.
- Q. Do you believe -- I'm not trying to characterize your testimony in any way. I'm asking this as a clarifying question that these audit rules, as you refer to, are binding on him for an internal audit done by the comptroller's office on behalf of the comptroller's office, you know, and

2.

2.2

2.5

those are inflexible dates?

- A. You mean for Ishmael?
- Q. Uh-huh.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

- A. I absolutely do. Because they are reviewed independently by other audit offices similar to the audit office that exists in the Office of the Comptroller. For example, the audit office in the County of St. Charles independently comes in and audits. And they have a process where they audit in order to keep all of the internal audit offices that exist up to standard. And they're very serious about their work. That's what I know about that.
- Q. Okay. And what if the audit has errors in it? How is that corrected?
- A. The auditors would correct that and reissue.
- Q. Okay. So again, I'm not asking about independent auditor rules. I'm talking about your rules as the comptroller for the comptroller's office. What is your expectation about getting the information accurate during an audit?
- A. My expectation is that Ishmael and any of the internal audit employees would perform in a professional manner and adhere to the professional

standards of their profession. But I also would expect my employee Jim Garavaglia as the deputy comptroller to adhere to the professional standards of the operations of the comptroller's office. It's an expectation that I believe I would -- it's a reasonable expectation that they would be able to perform their jobs in a professional manner.

- Q. Okay. But that's -- I'm not sure the question about professional manner came up. But those auditor rules do not apply to Jim, correct?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. All right. And are there independent separate policies within your office that inform even a deputy comptroller, that they are subject to those auditor rules in terms of their supervision of somebody conducting an audit?
- A. The auditors are subject to the rules.

 Those who they audit, which would have been in this case, Jim Garavaglia. As the supervisor at the time of the audit, he was supervising Gateway

 Transportation. He would have been asked by Ishmael to respond. That's what he's after, a response.
- Q. Okay. So my question was, are there any rules that exist within your office directing -- or policies, procedures, directing deputy comptrollers

2.

2.2

on the need to follow the auditor's rules --

A. Okay.

2.

- Q. -- as it pertains to the supervision? So I know they're not subject directly to the rules. But as it pertains to the supervision of an auditor conducting an audit?
- A. As I understand your question, that gets back to me saying my expectation for the rules in my office from the comptroller's standpoint is that the behavior of the deputy comptroller for Finance & Development would be to perform in a professional manner. That means timeliness. That means honesty. That means being able to act in a sense of urgency when those things call for that. That's -- and perform the job, his job, in a professional manner.
 - Q. Would that also be --
 - A. So that would be to simply respond.
 - Q. Would that include accuracy?
- A. From his position, of course.
 - Q. Okay. Due diligence?
 - A. Due diligence, of course. Because the request from the internal auditor is for a response. The internal auditor is not said to be always accurate. That's why you ask for the auditee's response. Because if, in fact, the auditee finds an

Page 259 recall I had spoken to Lyda Krewson about a 1 2. year earlier about a deputy comptroller job. 3 She turned me down. I was just thinking that when you asked the question. This was before 4 5 she became the mayor. (By Mr. Schmitz) Okay. Well, this would 6 0. 7 have been back when Jim was --This was before Jim. 8 Α. 9 Yeah. I was specifically asking about Ο. 10 this go around. 11 I understand, but I was still thinking. I Α. 12 was trying to make sure that I wouldn't leave 13 anybody out that I had considered for the position. And Lyda Krewson was considered, because I asked her 14 15 directly. We both sat at the airport commission 16 meeting. There was one meeting. And she looked at 17 me like I would get a look like -- I forget what she told me. But she told me -- oh, you said, I have a 18 job. That's what she said. 19 20 MR. SCHMITZ: Can you repeat that last 21 question? 2.2 THE COURT REPORTER: Question: If there 23 was a male and female candidate that you were 24 considering and all things were equal, do you feel like it would be okay to select a 25

2.

2.2

Page 260

candidate based on their gender at that point?

- A. I guess I don't look at gender in terms of positions when I'm wanting to hire. I never have and I never will. The gender has nothing to do with the work qualifications for a job. And so I would say absolutely not.
- Q. (By Mr. Schmitz) Have you ever considered age before when considering somebody for a position?
- A. Absolutely not. I never considered age, gender, race. Age, gender, race. What's the other thing? Color, sex. I don't consider those kinds of things when I am looking for qualified professionals to take a job in the Office of the Comptroller, because those things are not important. What is important is the qualified individual willing and able to take the job. And they will come with the qualifications to do the job. And they live in the City of St. Louis.
- Q. Do you consider factors related to age?

 And this is specific to age, not the other items
 that you mentioned.
 - A. I never consider age.
- Q. If I could finish my question. I was going to ask something specific.

Not age. You already answered that

question. But likelihood of how long that individual is going to work or --

A. Absolutely not. No.

2.

2.2

- Q. Do you consider whether or not you think that person's likely to stick around or move on to another job?
- A. No, not at all. If they're accepting a position and the circumstance which has been explained to them, living in the City of St. Louis, that has been the most question when a person has qualified, would come and accept the job. If they don't live in the City of St. Louis. And I believe the City of St. Louis gives you six months to move. So of course I would have wanted to have the employee in the position longer than six months.
- Q. Do you think that you have any right or authority to consider any of those factors at all before, age, gender, race, any of those factors at all?
- A. I do not consider any of those factors at all, whether I have the right or not. Because that is not in my character to do so and is not in the best interest of hiring practices for myself or for the City of St. Louis. It's just not in the best interest to be considering those factors.

Page 268 hypotheticals, but subject to that. 1 Α. I don't know. MR. SCHMITZ: I think that's all I have. 3 MR. NORWOOD: Okay. I've got some 4 5 follow-ups. Let me dive right in. 6 [EXAMINATION] 7 QUESTIONS BY MR. NORWOOD: Counsel made a point about the fact that 8 Ο. 9 when you talked to Jim that Tuesday before the E&A 10 meeting, that Jim made a reference to the mayor 11 wanting to place the item on the agenda. Do you 12 recall that? 13 Α. Yes. 14 Was it your expectation that he would have 0. 15 communicated to you that he had already made a 16 request for that to be placed on the agenda? 17 I did, as well as I was very hopeful that 18 I would get a full update on the project from Jim. 19 I was stunned that I did not get a full update about 20 the particulars of the project, whether or not taxes were paid, if that was an issue, whether it was 21 22 going to be in default, anything like that. I was stunned about what I heard instead of what I was 23 24 expecting to hear.

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com
888-391-3376

So just so we're clear on the record, it

2.5

Ο.

2.

2.2

Page 269

wasn't that the mayor was placing the item on the agenda. It was the fact that he hasn't communicated to you that he made that request to place it on the agenda on your behalf?

A. On my behalf. And he could have told me the reasons for the request and the reasons why we need to go ahead and put this on the agenda and on and on and on. And I would have supported Jim.

Because in times past, I would have supported him if he had particular -- and I have to say I heard from his deposition that he was knowledgeable and in contact with the collector of revenue about the taxes, but I didn't know about that until the deposition.

Had I known about that with the deposition, Jim and I could have had a more intelligent conversation with information that he knew. I had no knowledge of his interactions with the collector of revenue and attempting to get the taxes and all of that. Had I known that, that could have been an item put on the agenda from the comptroller's office as he had requested. But because I was kept out of the loop by Jim and made to not have that knowledge, I couldn't work to help Jim to support him to get the item on the agenda.

So the fact that the mayor was putting it on the agenda was not only confusing, but as I said earlier, I had two different comments.

One made by Beverly and one made by him. In that split moment, I said, Jim, send the documents to me. Then I had to do all the work at that time. Then I learned later there was an attempt to put the documents on.

But the matter at 9:00 in the morning that Jim had full control of could have been -could have stopped not only the mayor but also his first request if he had fully apprised me and told me why he thought the item should go on the agenda.
Then I would have said, have you told Beverly this, or are we all going to be on Team Comptroller to get this item on the agenda?

I was not afforded that because the behavior that I got from Jim was unbecoming of a professional at his level to misinform me. I was misinformed on that call. And I can't express that enough, because I should have been well informed instead of misinformed. You gave me all the information so I can make an intelligent decision. But he didn't do that. That's why we're here today, I guess.

2.2

2.2

Page 271

If he had done that and done his job in a professional manner, which I'm pretty sure that I had a reasonable expectation of having him be professional and doing his job at the level and having a sense of urgency to make sure I'm apprised of this issue so this item can move forward. I didn't get that from Jim on that day.

- Q. Okay. Let's talk generally about why is it important for your deputies to keep you -- you referred to -- the term you used was in the loop. Why is it important for your deputies to keep you in the loop of what's happening with respect to projects that impact your elected duties as the comptroller?
- A. It's always important to keep me in the loop as a deputy comptroller, because the deputy comptrollers represent me to the public. The deputy comptrollers are my eyes and ears to those who are developers, investment bankers, lawyers, and others who are working projects. They're speaking on behalf of the comptroller in most cases.

And in some cases, the deputy comptrollers can misrepresent. And I believe in this case, Jim misrepresented on my behalf. It appears from the e-mail trail, that it was told to

Jim in some manner that I was not in favor of the project. And that never existed whatsoever.

2.

2.2

- Q. Okay. You also used the term "integrity" of the office. Could you explain a little bit more about why that's important from the standpoint of your elected duties as the comptroller?
- A. The integrity of the Office of the Comptroller is really important to protect. And you protect it by behaving in a professional manner and performing your job duties with honesty. You perform your job duties with professionalism. And a high level of sensitivity exists, because you're talking about financial documents.

And so we want to have the integrity of the Office of the Comptroller protected in the manner with your behavior. Your work behavior is going to show in your manner of conduct that should show that you're honest and that you have a sense of professionalism that is deserving of being in the Office of the Comptroller.

Q. Now, has your office since you have been in charge as the comptroller received awards for the work that you have done in terms of keeping the fiscal responsibilities, performance, and integrity of the City? What awards did you receive?

Page 276 Just for the record, Chana is an African 1 American -- Chana Morton is an African American 2. female; is that correct? 3 4 Α. Yes. 5 And what about you mentioned Bev Fitzsimmons, your deputy; did you do ratings for 6 7 her? 8 Α. No. 9 Q. Except --10 Α. Except for required ratings. 11 And she is -- just for the record, what is Ο. 12 her race? 13 Α. She's white. 14 What about Tyson Pruitt, did you do Ο. ratings for him? 15 16 Α. No. 17 Q. And what is his race? 18 Except for the ones required. Α. 19 Okay. And what is his race, for the Ο. 20 record? 21 He's white. Α. Okay. Have you ever in all of your years 22 Q. as deputy comptroller -- as comptroller for the City 23 of St. Louis, have you ever heard of a situation 24 where important time sensitive deal documents were 25

placed in interoffice mail?

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

- A. Not ever in my whole 27 years as comptroller of the City of St. Louis have I ever heard of time sensitive documents being placed in interoffice mail, not ever. And also I have to add to that neither has Tom Ray, who obviously knew that he needed to alert the Office of the Comptroller that this was happening at the time for these types of time sensitive documents.
- Q. Okay. Now, you were shown Exhibit S, which is a memo you prepared to Jim regarding his attempt to have a St. Louis composting contract executed by Jim. Do you recall that discussion?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. As you testified this wasn't the first time you had discussions with Jim about signature authority; is that correct?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. All right. In fact, you identified a situation that was at least a year prior where he apparently went around you and went to Tom Ray to get some advice about signing?
- A. After I had verbally admonished him about signing. And I said admonish. It could be the same to inform or counsel. To let him know Beverly

Page 279 1 You wrote that to him, right? 2. Α. Yes, I did. 3 And when you said as you know, was that Ο. based upon the fact that you had had these 4 5 discussions with him before? I had previous discussions with him. So I 6 7 knew that he knew. 8 Ο. Did he ever come to you and say, no, I didn't know? 9 10 Α. He never did. 11 And you go further and say: As Ο. 12 comptroller, I am authorized and I have also 13 authorized Deputy Comptroller, Beverly Fitzsimmons. 14 Right? 15 Α. Yes. 16 All right. And you had told him that Ο. 17 before, correct? 18 Α. Correct. 19 O. Years before? 20 Α. Correct. 21 All right. When he received this memo, Ο. 2.2 after he received this memo, after July 21, 2017, 23 did Jim ever come to you and say, oh, by the way, I have already signed off on contracts for AT&T and 24 for Waste Management? Did he ever do that? 25

A. He never did.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

- Q. Would it be your expectation that he would come to you and tell you that?
- A. I would have expected that Jim would have performed with the professional behavior and come to me to tell me that he had signed other documents after he had received this memo from me, but he did not.
- Q. Okay. At the time you wrote this memo, did you know he had signed off on these other contracts?
- A. I did not know that until he -- I did not know at the time at all. I did not know until a year or two years later.
- Q. How did you find out about the fact that there were these contracts out there and that he had signed purportedly on behalf of the city?
- A. Once Jim decided to retire, other employees took time to perform his duties. And as they were doing their regular duties, performing their regular duties, they submitted a form. They submitted a voucher for payment for Waste Management and it was rejected. And it was rejected because there was no current contract on file.

And so there couldn't be, because

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Page 281 they hadn't been being paid. So then the Waste Management company was contacted and they immediately sent us a contract that had been signed by Jim. It was a three-year extension that was signed by Jim. And so we submitted it or at least I was told that Judy Armstrong, not knowing any better, submitted to the contract administrator who knew better. She said this can't be. This is not legitimate. Ο. Okay. So then she moved to take steps to get a Α. legitimate three-month or four-month extension so that the Waste Management people could continue to be paid. Ο. And this discovery happened during the time he was on forced leave; is that right? Α. Yes. And this was within a few days. Не was on forced leave as of July the 2nd. discovery happened within less than seven days. Okay. Let me show you -- I want to go to Ο.

Exhibit O. There was a reference to some letters dated July 15, 2019. So let's go to those.

Do you know if those letters were actually submitted to Mr. Frank and to

Mr. Garavaglia on July 15, 2019?

approval?

2.

2.2

- A. It probably is Mr. Frank's approval.
- Q. Okay. Let me close it out. Do you know if Judy Armstrong as the appointing authority would have also consulted with Director of Personnel and Linda Thomas in conjunction with the forced leave in this case?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Now, these deals that ultimately were completed on time, were they completed on time because there were guardrails in place in terms of professionals, outside professionals, like Opinsky and Tom Ray to make sure that they stayed on track?
- A. Absolutely. That is correct. Those professionals, lawyers, bond lawyers, as well as investment bankers were very aware of the timeline and schedules. And they were there to help to make sure that these deals got done properly. Even Tom Ray would chime in, step in to make sure deals got done without any delay. That's how they got done without delay.
- Q. When you found out about Tom Ray reaching out to Chana to alert her of the problem with the timing on getting these documents executed, did that jump out to you as being unusual?

A. It was alarming to say the least. That he had to take the time. Because in his e-mail, he's also copying Jim. But he's directed it to the secretary of the comptroller letting us be alerted that we're going to have a problem, because Jim is basically not handling his job in a professional manner to get the documents circulated in a timely manner so there's a closing.

He lets us know there's a closing.

He lets us know documents are in interoffice mail,

which is a mistake. He says to call him on the next

day so he can be of help. Instead of calling him on

the next day, I chose to call him on the same day,

along with Jim, along with Sherry Wibbenmeyer in the

mayor's office, my secretary and myself, the five of

us was on that call. And I asked him did he have a

plan for getting the documents executed in a timely

manner.

- Q. And he was going to be on vacation the very following day; is that right?
- A. That is correct. And that is why I chose to call him that day, because I didn't know if I would be able to get in touch with him the next day. So if he had told me he had a plan in place to take care of the execution, then I would have been secure

in that. He did say that his secretary, Sheila, would be delivering the documents to my office the next morning.

That was after I asked him, could he -- I asked him where were the documents at that moment. He told me they were in the mail. My question was a leading question. And the leading question was in an attempt to see if Jim was going to offer to go get the documents out of the mail. And he did not.

- Q. Are we talking about interoffice mail?
- A. I'm talking about interoffice mail before the close of business at the City of St. Louis on the day before he was going on vacation.
 - Q. Okay.

2.2

2.5

- A. Jim showed no urgency to have the documents ready for my signature in a timely manner. But he did in his deposition talk about that the documents needed review. So when I heard the deposition, I didn't understand how he was going to be reviewing the documents that came out of the mail on the day that he was driving out of town. Those things didn't register.
 - Q. All right.
 - A. He said that in his deposition. He was

2.

Page 286

going out of town on that call before the close of business. Before he left, those documents -- he made no attempt to take them out of the mail for a review that he talked about at his deposition.

- Q. Okay. We talked about the Muni Court project.
 - MR. BLANKE: Let me interrupt you for a second. I want to object just for the record. I think we're over the seven hours now in total.
 - MR. NORWOOD: You have a seven-hour limit. I can use any of my seven hours. I am going to wrap it up.
- Q. (By Mr. Norwood) But my question to you is -- let's talk about the Muni Court project. What is that? How many millions of dollars is involved and what project are we talking about when you say the Muni Court project?
- A. We're talking about a project where the developers were going to redevelop the Muni Court into a hotel. And then that hotel would have also be redeveloped into a parking lot. And that would have took a building that is not in use right now and pull it into full effect and use and would not only create jobs, they were expecting there would be

Page 287 anywhere between 60 to 70 jobs created, along with 1 the revenues from the hotel taxes and sales taxes for that particular location right now, which is 3 right now an unused building and boarded up. 4 Okay. Let me close with this. And I'll 5 ask you point blank. Did Mr. Garavaglia's age, 6 7 race, or sex have anything to do with the steps you took as it related to the first, the second forced 8 9 leave or pretermination? 10 Absolutely not, no. Absolutely not. Α. Not 11 at all. 12 MR. NORWOOD: All right. I have no 13 further questions. 14 MR. BLANKE: Do you have anything else? 15 MR. SCHMITZ: No. 16 MR. NORWOOD: We'll read. 17 (Whereupon signature was 18 reserved.) 19 (Off the record at 6:36 p.m.) 20 21 2.2 23 24 2.5